ADMINISTRATIVE CIRCULAR NO. 28

Office of Leadership and Learning

SAN DIEGO UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT

Date: October 17, 2014

To: Principals, Vice Principals, and Teachers of Elementary Students; Division

and Department Heads; Area Superintendents

Subject: SUPPORT FOR AT-RISK STUDENTS AT THE ELEMENTARY

LEVEL (Intervention Plans)

Department and/or

Persons Concerned: Principals, Vice Principals, and Teachers at **Elementary Schools**

Due Date: Complete required actions according to timeline in Attachment 1, which

are based on California Education Code 48070.

Reference: Administrative Procedure 4755: Promotion/Retention and

Placement/Articulation, K-6 (Elementary);

Action Requested: Ensure support for each at-risk elementary student by developing and

implementing an Intervention Plan. For the 2014-15 academic year, use the district-approved Intervention Plans Elementary Learning Contract 2014-15

(Attachment 4).

Attachments: 1. At-Risk Identification, Intervention, Support Timeline for First Semester

2. Literacy Performance Expectations, Grades K-6

3. Mathematics Performance Expectations, Grades K-6

4. Elementary Learning Contract 2014-15

Brief Explanation:

The purpose of this circular is to outline the process and timeline for 1) identifying students who are at risk of not meeting grade level expectations and are in need of additional support, 2) developing Intervention Plans as part of the ongoing support system for these students, and 3) notification of parents.

Students experiencing difficulty in meeting grade-level standards in literacy and/or mathematics must be identified as at-risk within the first ten weeks of enrollment and site staff must develop an Intervention Plan within four weeks following the end of the 9- or 12-week grading period (or within four weeks of identification for newly enrolled students). This is based on California Education Code 48070. Once students have been identified at-risk, parents/guardians must be notified and interventions and progress monitoring should begin as soon as possible.

The Intervention Plan documents each student's strengths and needs and delineates evidence-based interventions to support improvement. Student learning must be progress monitored and communicated to parents on an ongoing basis throughout the year. Administrative Procedure 4755 covers the promotion/retention and intervention processes for elementary students in Kindergarten through Grade 6.

Administrative Circular No. 28 Office Leadership and Learning Page 2

Step 1: Using the literacy and/or mathematics performance expectations provided in Attachments 2 and 3, as well as objective teacher evaluation; identify at-risk students within the first 10 weeks of enrollment.

- Attachment 1 provides the At-Risk Identification, Intervention, and Support Timeline.
- Attachment 2 provides Literacy Performance Expectations for All Students: Grades K-6.
- Attachment 3 provides the Mathematics Performance Expectations for All Students: Grades K-6.

Step 2: Generate an Intervention Plan for each student who is "below expectations" or "far below expectations" in literacy and/or mathematics, based on performance expectations specified in Attachments 2 and 3 and objective teacher evaluation.

The Intervention Plan:

- serves as an agreement among the school, parent/guardian, and student;
- outlines which Intervention/s will be provided for the student;
- documents student's academic progress;
- delineates required intervention goals; and
- makes the potential consequences clear to all stakeholders.

An Intervention Plan must be developed within four weeks following the end of the first grading period (or within four weeks of identification for newly enrolled students). Ideally, the Intervention Plan should be distributed and discussed at a parent/guardian conference, but it may be sent home for parent/guardian signature. (Note: All parents/guardians **must be** given the opportunity to request a conference to discuss the Intervention Plan.)

• Attachment 4 Elementary Learning Contract 2014-15

Step 3: Implement appropriate interventions and supports for each at-risk student, and communicate regularly with parents/guardians about the student's academic needs and progress.

It is crucial to inform parents and enlist their support during every point in the at-risk student identification and intervention process to ensure that all students are provided every opportunity to meet grade-level standards and be prepared for the next grade level. A final report of progress must be given to parents/guardians in the spring, along with a decision regarding promotion/retention and placement for the following school year.

Please direct questions to Linda Trousdale via email at ltrousdale1@sandi.net or by phone at 619-725-7342.

Linda Trousdale Program Manager Office of Leadership and Learning

APPROVED:

Iim Solo

Executive Director: Office of Leadership and Learning

Attachments (4)



Attachment 1

At-Risk Identification, Intervention, and Support Timeline Grades 1-6* 2014-15 Academic Year

	Action Date	Action Taken	Responsible Party				
a.	First four (4) weeks of student enrollment	Provide information to parent(s)/guardians(s) about district's promotion/retention policy (e.g., Facts for Parents, School Handbook).	Principal				
b.	No later than tenth week of enrollment (Grades 1-6)	Formally identify at-risk students based on assessment information and objective progress monitoring data; ensure that students who were promoted last year "with interventions" are included in the identification process. Based on California Education Code 48070.	Assigned Classroom Teacher(s)				
		Notify parent(s)/guardians(s) of student's at-risk status.	Teacher(s)				
		Initiate appropriate interventions based on students' identified need.	Teacher(s)/ Collaboration Team				
c.	No later than four (4) weeks following the end of the first grading period OR within four (4) weeks of identification for newly enrolled students	Convene and conduct Meetings/ Conferences with parent(s)/ guardians(s) to document student's at-risk status; develop an Intervention Plan; and obtain signatures by teacher, student, and parent/guardian.	Teacher(s)				
d.	On-going	Implement student interventions outlined in the Intervention Plan through the Elementary Learning Contract 2014-15.	Teacher(s)				
		Assess student and maintain progress monitoring data. Communicate with parent(s)/guardians(s) concerning the student progress. Document each communication and/or parent meeting.					
e.	End of second grading period	Inform parent(s)/guardians(s) of student progress through distribution of report cards.	Principal				
		Conduct Problem Solving Meetings with parent(s)/guardians(s) to discuss student learning as evidenced by progress monitoring data.	Teacher(s)				

^{*} **Kindergarten Students**: Teachers should discuss student progress in relation to grade-level expectations during parent conferences. Intervention Plans are not required for Kindergarten students.





LITERACY PERFORMANCE EXPECTATIONS FOR ALL STUDENTS GRADES K-6

Identification of At-Risk Students: Fluent English and English Learners

Students are considered in need of additional support in literacy if they are not meeting grade-level expectations in literacy. During this transition year toward the expectations of the Common Core State Standards we will continue to utilize Fountas and Pinnell as a key indicator as noted below. Beginning at Grade 1, an Intervention Plan must be developed and implemented for each student who is Below and Far Below Grade Level Expectations to define:

- 1. The intervention recommendations
- 2. Which interventions the student will receive
- 3. When progress monitoring will take place
- 4. The roles and responsibilities of each stakeholder in the learning process.

Retention Considerations

Grade 1 and Grade 3 students who are Far Below Expectations **at the end of the school year** may be retained. Except in specific circumstances, retention for English learners in first grade is not recommended-see notes below. Even though Intervention Plans are not required for at-risk Kindergarten students, teachers should discuss student progress in relation to grade level expectations during parent conferences. Retention is strongly discouraged.

Additional Considerations for English Learners

In most cases, English Learners are considered at risk of not meeting grade-level standards in English literacy until they are reclassified as Fluent English Proficient (FEP).

- English Learners, in any instructional program, reading Above/At/Close to Expectations based on the English literacy performance expectations in the preceding charts should be considered for reclassification and should continue to receive appropriate grade-level instruction as the reclassification criteria are met. An Intervention Plan is not required.
- English Learners, in any instructional program, reading Below or Far Below Expectations are at risk of not meeting grade-level expectations in English Language Arts. And an Intervention Plan must be developed. The following chart may be used to identify whether students are making timely progress in developing English language proficiency. For students performing at the expected level of proficiency, supports should focus on continued development of literacy and enriched English language skills. For students performing Below or Far Below Expectations, additional interventions should focus on accelerating literacy through English language development.
- For English Learners in Alternative Bilingual (Biliteracy) programs in need of an Intervention Plan, also consider the student's reading level and skills in Spanish in order to pinpoint specific literacy strengths and needs and develop subsequent instruction and interventions.
- Progress in English Language Development (ELD) is a key consideration in determining adequate gains and eligibility for retention.
 - Grade 1 ELs who entered school (in K or 1st) at the Beginning or Early Intermediate level of English should not be retained as they have not had adequate time to develop English language proficiency.
 - ELs with fewer than three years of English instruction generally should **not** be retained as they have not had adequate time to develop English. They may be recommended for retention if:
 - 1. They are also not making adequate yearly progress in English Language Development (i.e., Far Below Performance Expectations for ELD), **and**
 - 2. Staff and parents jointly decide that it is in the best interest of the child.



SDUSD Grade Level Reading Expectations And Reading Assessment Crosswalk

Below Grade Level Expectations
At Grade Level Expectations
Above Grade Level Expectations

Assessment						Grade Level																			
						TK			K			1			2			3			4			5	
Lexile Range	WRAP*	DRA*	Fountas	& Pinnell*	T1	T2	T3	T1	T2	Т3	T1	T2	Т3	T1	T2	T3	T1	T2	Т3	T1	T2	Т3	T1	T2	Т3
BR	0	0		0																					
	A1/A2	1		A																					
	B1/B2/B3	2		В																					
	C1/C2/C3	3		С																					
	D1/D2/D3	4		D																					
	E1/E2	6/8		E																					
	F1/F2	10		F																					
	G1/G2	12		G																					
	H1/H2	14		Н																					
	I1/I2	16		I																					
	J1/J2	18		J																					
	K1/K2	20		K																					
		24		L																					
450-575		28		M																					
		30		N																					
		34		О																					
650-725		38		P																					
		40		Q																					
		40		R																					
800-875		44		S																					
		44		T																					
900-980		>44		U																					
				V																					
				W																					
				X																					
			Y	Y/Z																					

^{*}Instructional Reading Level



Please note the following information for the chart on page 2:

The Developmental Reading Assessment (DRA) is designed to assess and document students' growth as readers over time. Using this individually-administered assessment, teachers observe, record, and analyze individual students' reading strategies, fluency, comprehension, and accuracy rate using specific leveled texts.

DRA data will be collected at the end of each reporting period to determine students' instructional reading levels. Sites are required to report data for grades 1 and 3. Sites are strongly encouraged to use the DRA and/or similar assessments to monitor reading levels at other grades. These data will be used to monitor student progress and ensure timely and appropriate supports for students who are experiencing difficulty.

The primary purpose of any assessment tool is to inform instruction. The DRA provides data that can clarify what readers do well and where they need support. The DRA is only one indicator of student progress in reading. Other assessments, including running records on texts other than the DRA books, should be used regularly throughout the academic year to monitor student progress and determine students' instructional needs.

Measures of Academic Progress (MAP)

Grade Level	Time of Year	Grade-Level	Close to, At, or Above Grade Level	Below	Far Below Expectation		
Level		Expectation (RIT)	Expectations	Expectation	Expectation		
3	Beginning of Year	191.6	179.7 +	160.2-179.6	Less than 160.2		
4	Beginning of Year	200.1	191.6 +	179.7-191.5	Less than 179.7		
5	Beginning of Year	206.7	200.1 +	191.6-200.0	Less than 191.6		
6	Beginning of Year	211.6	206.7 +	200.1-206.6	Less than 200.1		

English Learner Performance Expectations for English Language Development (ELD)

Initial OPL ¹ or	Year 2 Enrolled			Yea	r 3 Enrol	Year 4 Enrolled			Yea	r 5 Enrol	led	Year 6+ Enrolled			
Year 1 Enrolled ²	eOPL ³	Below	Far Below	eOPL ³	Below	Far Below	eOPL ³	Below	Far Below	eOPL ³	Below	Far Below	eOPL ³	Below	Far Below
В	EI	В	_	1	EI	В	EA	I	EI or below	А	EA	I or below	RFEP	Α	EA or below
EI	1	EI	B or below	EA	I	EI or below	А	EA	l or below	RFEP	А	EA or below	RFEP	_	A or below
I	EA	I	El or below	А	EA	I or below	RFEP	Α	EA or below	RFEP	_	A or below	RFEP	_	A or below
EA	А	EA	I or below	RFEP	Α	EA or below	RFEP	_	A or below	RFEP	_	A or below	RFEP	_	A or below
А	RFEP	А	EA or below	RFEP	_	A or below	RFEP	_	A or below	RFEP	_	A or below	RFEP	_	A or below

OPL (Overall Proficiency Level): B = Beginning; EI = Early Intermediate; I = Intermediate; EA = Early Advanced; A = Advanced; RFEP = Reclassified Fluent English Proficient

² For students not initially assessed with CELDT, expectations are based on years enrolled.

o eOPL = Expected OPL



MATHEMATICS PERFORMANCE EXPECTATIONS FOR ALL STUDENTS GRADES K-6

Identification of At-Risk Students:

Students are considered in need of additional support in mathematics if they are not meeting grade-level expectations in mathematics. Beginning at Grade 1, an Intervention Plan must be developed and implemented for each student who is Below and Far Below Expectations to define:

- 1. Intervention recommendations
- 2. Which interventions the student will receive
- 3. When progress monitoring will take place
- 4. The roles and responsibilities of each stakeholder in the learning process

A student is considered below basic and at-risk when he/she rarely meets grade-level expectations as demonstrated by a variety of work, and progress monitoring which shows minimal evidence of growth and understanding and use of grade-level concepts.

Indicators:

- ➤ Data from End-of-Topic Multiple Choice Assessments (Gr. 3-5) available on Illuminate
- ➤ Data from End-of-Module Multiple Choice Assessments (Gr. 6)
- Measures of Academic Progress (MAP) for mathematics (performance expectations provided below)
- Classroom test and quiz data; Exit Slips; Quick Writes; Math Journals
- ➤ Progress monitoring measures linked to Intervention Plans and specific goals
- > Teacher observation of student performance
- ➤ Alternative Assessments: Performance Assessments

Retention Considerations

Grade 1 and Grade 3 students who are Far Below Expectations **at the end of the school year** may be retained. Except in specific circumstances, retention for English learners in first grade is not recommended. **Refer to "Additional Considerations for English Learners" in Attachment 2-Literacy Performance Expectations.

Measures of Academic Progress (MAP)

Grade Level	Time of Year	Grade-Level Expectation (RtI)	Close to, At, or Above Grade Level Expectations	Below Expectation	Far Below Expectation
3	Beginning of Year	192.1	179.5 +	163.4-179.4	Less than 163.4
4	Beginning of Year	203.0	192.1 +	179.5-192.0	Less than 179.5
5	Beginning of Year	211.7	203.0 +	192.1-202.9	Less than 192.1
6	Beginning of Year	218.3	211.7 +	203.0-211.6	Less than 203.0

San Diego Unified School District **Elementary Learning Contract: 2014-15**

	School		
Student Name		ID No	Grade Level
Collaboration Team			
At Risk Status Based or	the Information Below (Atta	ch Illuminate Student Profile	and Progress Report):
SBACCE	LDTWRAP/DRA	Math Screening	Report CardeOPL
Assessments (Spec	eify):		
Behavior Record	Attendance Record	Other (Specify)	
Initial Academic Recom	mendations:		
Initial Behavior Recom	mendations:		
Tier 1 Intervention(s)	Dates	Results	Next Steps
			 Discontinue Intervention—Goals achieved Continue Intervention—Making progress. Continue to monitor. Modify Interventions
	Dates	Results	Next Steps Discontinue Intervention—Goals achieved
			Continue Intervention—Goals achieved Continue Intervention—Making progress. Continue to monitor. Modify Interventions
Tier 2 Intervention(s)	Dates	Results	Next Steps Discontinue Intervention—Goals achieved
			Continue Intervention–Making progress. Continue to monitor. Modify Interventions
	Dates	Results	Next Steps Discontinue Intervention–Goals achieved
			Continue Intervention–Making progress. Continue to monitor. Modify Interventions
Tier 3 Intervention(s)	Dates	Results	Next Steps Discontinue Intervention—Goals achieved
			Continue Intervention—Making progress. Continue to monitor.
	D (D 1	Modify Interventions
	Dates	Results	Next Steps Discontinue Intervention—Goals achieved Continue Intervention—Making progress.
			Continue to monitor. Modify Interventions
Required Meeting to dis	scuss Intervention Plan (At Ri	sk Meeting by Week 12):	1
Parent Signature:			Date:
Student Signature:			Date:
Administrator Signatur	re:		Date:

Distrito Escolar Unificado de San Diego Contrato de Aprendizaje de Primaria: 2014-15

	Es	cuela		
Nombre del/de la Alumno(a)		# de lde	n	Grado
Equipo Colaborativo				
Asignado(a) en Riesgo con Base	en la Siguiente Inforr	mación (Incluir el Perfi	l Estudiantil Illuminate y la Boleta	ı) :
SBACCELDT	WRAP/DRA	Matemáticas	Reporte de Progreso	eOPL
Evaluaciones (Especifique):				
Expediente de Conducta	Expediente de A	sistenciaOtro (Especifique)	
Recomendaciones Académicas In	iciales:			
Recomendaciones Iniciales de Co	nducta·			
Treeson and a second and a second a second	nauota.			
	1 = .	1-	<u> </u>	
Intervención(es) del Nivel 1	Fechas	Resultados	Continuar Continuar	asos ar Intervención-Metas logradas Intervención-Progresando. monitoreando. I las Intervenciones
	Fechas	Resultados	Continuar Continuar	asos ar Intervención-Metas logradas Intervención-Progresando. monitoreando. I las Intervenciones
Intervención(es) del Nivel 2	Fechas	Resultados	Continuar Continuar	asos ar Intervención-Metas logradas Intervención-Progresando. monitoreando. I las Intervenciones
	Fechas	Resultados	Continuar Continuar	asos ar Intervención-Metas logradas Intervención-Progresando. monitoreando. I las Intervenciones
Intervención(es) del Nivel 3	Fechas	Resultados	Continuar Continuar	asos ar Intervención-Metas logradas Intervención-Progresando. monitoreando. I las Intervenciones
	Fechas	Resultados	Continuar Continuar	asos ar Intervención-Metas logradas Intervención-Progresando. monitoreando. I las Intervenciones
Reunión Obligatoria para discutir	el Plan de Intervenci	ión (Reunión de Riesg	o antes de la Semana 12):	
Firma del/de la Padre/Madre:			Fecha:	
Firma del/de la Alumno(a):			Fecha:	
Firma del/de la Administrador(a):				